



PERSONAL PROTECTIVE EQUIPMENT FRAMEWORK REF: 3763

FRAMEWORK SCHEDULE 6: AWARD CRITERIA

1. GENERAL

- 1.1 This Framework Schedule 6 is designed to assist Contracting Bodies when drafting a Mini Competition for a Further Competition Procedure.
- 1.2 A contract may be awarded on the basis of Most Economically Advantageous Tender ("MEAT").
- 1.3 This Framework Schedule 6 will include details of the evaluation criteria and any weightings that will be applied to that criteria.

PART A: DIRECT AWARD

- 2. The following criteria and weightings shall be applied to the Framework Suppliers' compliant Bids submitted through direct award.

Criteria Number	Criteria - ranked in order of importance	Percentage Weightings (or rank order of importance where applicable) - to be set by the Contracting Body conducting the direct award
1	Price (Total price including any additional delivery options after discount based on required quantities)	70% (+/-10%) Up to a maximum of 80% and a minimum of 60%

2	Quality (delivery timescales)	30% (+/-10%) Up to a maximum of 40% and a minimum of 20%
---	-------------------------------	---

2.1 Customers will review the supplier catalogue offering and conduct a price and quality exercise whereby all suppliers able to supply the specific requirements prices and delivery timescales will be considered in line with the following methodology:

Price which will include the total price including any additional delivery options after discount is evaluated utilising the Scoring Methodology below and allocated a 70% weighting. Price elements will be evaluated on the following criteria:

The lowest price for a response which meets the pass criteria shall score 100.

All other bids shall be scored on a pro rata basis in relation to the lowest price.

For example -

Bid 1 £100,000 is the lowest score and scores 100

Bid 2 £120,000 a differential of £20,000 or 20%. In this instance 20% is deducted from price which results in a price score of 80

Bid 3 £150,000 a differential of £50,000 or 50%. In this instance 50% is deducted from price which results in a price score of 50.

Bid 4 £175,000 a differential of £75,000 or 75%. In this instance 75% is deducted from price which results in a price score of 25.

Bid 5 £200,000 a differential of £100,000 or 100%. In this instance 100% is deducted from price which results in a price score of 0. The score is then subject to a multiplier to reflect the percentage value of the price criterion.

The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than 100% greater than the lowest price.

Where the score criterion is worth 70% then the 0-100 score achieved will be multiplied by 70

In the example, if a supplier scores 80 from the available 100 points this will equate to 56% by using the following calculation:

Score divided by Total Points multiplied by 70 ($80 / 100 \times 70 = 56$)

The lowest score possible is 0 even if the price submitted is more than

100% greater than the lowest price.

2.2 Quality will be evaluated in relation the delivery timescales and how they fit in with the specific requirements and allocated a 30% weighting. Evaluation will be completed as follows:

- (a) Delivery within the required timescales – 100
- (b) Outside the timescales but still acceptable – 50
- (c) Outside the timescales and unacceptable – 0

2.3 The two individual scores will then be combined to identify a total score, the supplier achieving the highest of which will receive the direct award order.

PART B: FURTHER COMPETITION AWARD CRITERIA

1. THE FOLLOWING CRITERIA SHALL BE APPLIED TO THE GOODS AND/OR SERVICES SET OUT IN THE SUPPLIERS' COMPLIANT BIDS SUBMITTED THROUGH THE FURTHER COMPETITION PROCEDURE:

Criteria Number	Criteria	Percentage Weightings (or rank order of importance where applicable) - to be set by the Contracting Body conducting the further competition
	Price	70% (+/-10%) Up to a maximum of 80% and a minimum of 60%
	Quality	30% (+/-10%) Up to a maximum of 40% and a minimum of 20%
<p>Suggested Potential Additional Criteria</p> <p>Please note: Contracting Bodies may wish to incorporate bespoke criteria to assess the 30% (+/-10%) quality element. The customer must ensure that any revised quality criteria must always equal 30% (+/-10%).</p>		